

SLNA Minutes - April 2017

We opened with introductions and a treasury update from mark schwitau - \$1842 in checking, \$1945 in a CD, \$1604 in a money market, \$25 in savings and \$65 in paypal.

There was a quick report from j rhatigan on the park day (success!) and a similar quick report from mario on the easter egg hunt (30 ish kids, loads of parents/grandparents/yaaaay!)

Need for NO UNLEASHED DOGS/SCOOP YOUR POOP sign on park entrance from cielo/condo side as that is primary entrance for many many dog owners, (not from del curto) and so they never see the current sign. Also potential for a swingset grant and a invasive species removal grant for park.

There was no update on the del curto park /1/4 cent fund bridge.

The south lamar mitigation plan / del curto storm drainage project in in a design phase and has potential for 2020 completion if funding continues.

The south lamar corridor study plans are 1 to 2 months away from decisions from staff on prioritizing of elements in the plan. (and maybe tentative funding for the elements?)

SLNA bylaws re-write - request for any expertise from the group. no obvious candidates self-declared.

Thorton sidewalks - how to pressure psw and staff for what we thought was part of the deal already -- before occupancy.

Someone noted that googlemaps says you can cut thru aldwyche dr, but you cannot!

Thorton RPP update (residential parking permit) - this was an action item, brian king proposed motion, 2nd by bruce evans, support of thorton rpp passed by majority. There was concern about timing (8pm - midnight or?). There was agreement to get something in place for now, perfect it as time goes, rather than wait for perfect understanding or solutions before getting something in place. City has agreed to 1-side as parking if 67% of residents approve (which apparently has happened), and which has been submitted. Now is the public notice period, after that it goes to council or ATD or ? for final approval. There was a question about guest passes but the answer was not readily known.

Most of the meeting was CODE NEXT discussion:

Interactive maps online, discussion of bouldin as upzone, general setbacks discussion, implications of all of it unclear, desire for google map mashup to see by zone, ADU deed and conditional overlaid discussion.

General agreement that code next doesnt seem to be simpler, which was a primary goal. Bob wants color coded hard copy big maps to understand differences. Reminders to go online and make comments on codenext site, not just here in meeting.

Announcement of District 10 code next meeting was next day. Discussion if group voice was possible, does SLNA have agreement. Would announce kitchen staff be a better voice point than the code next site -- why not both.

Question if “valid petition” is still a toll in code next? No answer.

What is the overall impact? Some places have more density, some places have less, is there an obvious way to see that? No real answer/ no known comparison map that calculates density options. Can see zoning, but still have to do the calcs yourself.

Concern for SLNA narrowed to : Thorton, Clawson, Iva, Del Curto, Morgan / Bannister, Fortview, and periphery. Is there a process to collect joint comments/concerns? Attempt to go thri SLNA list and see who responds.

What is teh codenext notification process? Different from current?

May 15 - district 5 code next meeting.

OTHER

Minor bluebonnet food trailer court discussion.

Concern for why, when often a dozen or more free spaces at bluebonnet studios, are people parking along del curto and iva. Questions about if it is residents or visitors or actually construction crews working on iva. No answer. FC to be emailed and asked to ask FC folks to park in their empty spaces rather than street.

ADJOURNED

by consensus 8:19 pm.